

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BY: NM
DEPUTY

~~~~~

Because no party has objected to the Magistrate Judge’s findings or recommendations, the Court reviews the R&R for clear error. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989); *cf.* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (“A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which an objection is made.”) Upon review, the Court finds that the R&R is neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's R&R, and, for the reasons set forth therein, Defendant Quiroz's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 20) is **GRANTED**, and all claims against him are **DISMISSED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

**SIGNED** this 3 day of December, 2024.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Orlando L. Garcia", written over a horizontal line.

ORLANDO L. GARCIA  
United States District Judge